Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the above and confirm my continuing very strong objection to the present proposal for a tunnel which will irreparably damage the Stonehenge landscape. I would prefer the tunnel not to be built and an alternate route to be investigated. The WHS is of such great importance that cost of the works should be a minor consideration. If the right solution cannot be afforded now, any decision should be delayed until appropriate funding can be made available. The M25 has damaged the Surrey Downs landscape forever; Stonehenge is unique so we cannot afford to get this wrong.

I have read the report of the Advisory Mission and agree with its principal finding, i.e. that the scheme would have an adverse impact on the OUV of the WHS and that a southern bypass should be further explored.

– The Mission admitted that at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary.

– National Highways has simply reiterated many of the arguments it has raised previously to justify a scheme which is clearly unacceptable to:

- Government's independent specialist examiners,
- UNESCO's World Heritage Committee, and
- the former Transport Secretary himself who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.

– The High Court judgment quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives.

- National Highways' response fails to alleviate any of the above concerns.

– I continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned.

– Should the Transport Secretary intend to proceed with the scheme, I trust that it will be subject of another formal public Examination so that all of the new information submitted by National Highways and others since 2020 may be fully and openly discussed, and taken into account and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate.

With best wishes,

Stephen Randall

